

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,309	02/21/2002	Gary John Corey		9106
7:	590 08/27/2003			
Mr. Gary John Corey 21445 Bundy Canyon Road			EXAMINER	
Wildomar, CA			PALADINI, ALBERT WILLIAM	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2125	6
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			S /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\
Advisory Action	10/079,309	COREY, GARY JOHN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Albert W Paladini	2125	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cov r sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rec	cation in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of			
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adelevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The d have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extendard CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered I	pecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v	$\operatorname{nt}(s)$ a) \boxtimes will not be entered or two vould be rejected is provided bel	o) will be entered ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	: :		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			

10. Other: ____

Claim(s) rejected: 1-8.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2125 Centinuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/441,014

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amended claims do not describe elements operating together to perform a function. They name a single element, named "A technology lement followed by a usir entering information into the element. The claim indis with a statement that a "controller" performs some functions. There is not description of the interaction of elements to perform a function...